

Kamela – Really???

by

Phil Rasmussen

Having watched Kamala Harris perform at rallies, debate Trump, and perform interviews (with teleprompters, Walz as a “comfort bunny, and solo), one has to wonder what is with this woman running for President of the United States.

Since she has not produced anything of substance regarding her political leanings or beliefs, or details of her so-called policies, the question that needs asking is, “WHY?”

INMHO there are four possible reasons for Kamala’s lack of responding to direct questions put to her.

1. Kamala Harris is an airhead, moron, bubblehead, or any number of other synonyms describing her behavior and mental acuity.
2. Kamala Harris has some form of mental and/or psychological disability or incapacitation with regard to ability, qualification, or strength to perform as the leader of our nation.
3. Kamala Harris is a pathological liar, has a histrionic personality, and replaces compassion with bullying and “constant soul-destroying criticism.” [\[MSN -- Kamala Harris labeled soul destroying bully\]](#)
4. Kamala Harris does not really care if she will be president or not. It is all a “fun-filled trip” for her.

Laughter has been a significant aspect in many of Kamela’s public appearances. For decades, psychologists have touted the value of laughter with regard to health and well-being. Political scientists have studied the role that laughter plays in politics. Patrick Giamario, in his book, **Introducing Geopolitics**, discusses laughter as both bolstering and undermining the structures of political power and hierarch. [\[John Hopkins Univ. – Jscholarship library repository\]](#)

However, in light of the many research projects on laughter and its so-called positive outcomes, there is also a dark and malevolent side. Laughter can easily be used to denigrate others. It is often used as a defensive mechanism to conceal ones vulnerability or to avoid responding to questions that the person feels inadequate to respond to. [\[Psychology Today – A discussion of Brene Brown's TED talk\]](#)

If we analyze Kamela's use of laughter at her rallies, debates, and interviews, we would notice that her laughter often disparages others or is used as a segue to avoid answering a question and redirect the conversation to another topic that she feels more comfortable about.

Kamela is also hijacking Trump's policies and couching them as if they were her own. This is an obvious ploy to camouflage herself as a "moderate," while she continues to say that her "values have not changed." [[Axios – My values have not changed](#)]

Her inability to articulate details of her so-called policies, alone should tell us that she has nothing between her ears.

As we countdown to the November 5th election, every voter needs to think of themselves as a business owner. The question then becomes, "Where do you want your company to be in the next 4 to 8 years?" The answers to this question will become your company's goals. Here are some potential goals that you can use:

My company (the USA government) needs to:

- Focus on the primary product and eliminate non-revenue producing products.
- Streamline the payroll and financial structure.
- Reduce our debt to income ratio.
- Become ISO certified in all departments.

So when you vote in November, base who you vote for on what achievements they accomplished in the past and **NOT** on personality, party lines, or promises made. Remember:

The tiger cannot change its stripes

This idiom means that a person cannot change his or her essential nature or character.

Quora

The law of the jungle

The code of survival in jungle life, referencing the superiority of brute force or self-interest in the struggle for survival.

English Oxford Dictionary